IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

RENATE M. SOMBROEK ET AL.

Serial No. 08/704,400

Filed: 08/27/96

Atty. Docket PHN 14,491A

Group Art Unit: 2415

Examiner: J. BRIER

Title: SPEED ADAPTIVE POSITIONING Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

Dear Sir:

Applicants herewith respectfully submit a response to the Final Office Action of September 18, 1997.

REMARKS

Applicants herewith respectfully request reconsideration of the final rejection in the pending Office Action.

Below, arguments are given to demonstrate that the rejection of the claims is incorrect. The arguments do not support a finding of prima facie obviousness.

The essence of the arguments was given in previous responses, but the wording may have not been clear enough as appears from the interpretation of the references given by the Examiner in the pending Action. Applicants consider this a good and sufficient reason to submit this response under 37 CFR §1.116, together with the request that the rejection be withdrawn.

KATO

Kato teaches a cursor movement controller in application software. The controller increases the moving speed of a cursor when the software detects that a specific key of a keyboard is depressed continuously for a prescribed time or longer. Kato does not teach